

Message

From: Mugdan, Walter [Mugdan.Walter@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/10/2014 6:22:57 PM
To: Enck, Judith [Enck.Judith@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Passaic river

The Passaic River project did get reviewed by the CSTAG (twice) as well as the NRRB (once). The site originally went to CSTAG in 2007. The CSTAG provided comments which lead to a Peer Review in early 2008. Based on the CSTAG and Peer Review comments we made significant changes in our modeling work. In late 2012 we brought our draft Proposed Plan before the combined CSTAG and NRRB membership. Additional comments were provided, and in February-March, 2013, we took the model through another Peer Review process. Based on the comments from CSTAG, NRRB and the peer reviewers we made further improvements to the computer model during the spring and summer of 2013. The outputs from the improved model were used in the final Focused Feasibility Study published along with the Proposed Plan on April 11, 2014.

More in the following message.

-----Original Message-----

From: Enck, Judith
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 2:10 PM
To: Mugdan, Walter
Subject: Re: Passaic river

No. Our proposed plan for lower river. See bodine testimony footnote

From: Mugdan, Walter
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 5:38:33 PM
To: Enck, Judith
Subject: RE: Passaic river

I assume this question relates to the Bioremediation Pilot Project proposed by Tierra/Maxus/Occidental in connection with the Passaic River. The proposal has not gone to CSTAG, and would not do so until/unless EPA proposes (some day) to select it as a remedy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Enck, Judith
Sent: Tuesday, June 10, 2014 1:19 PM
To: Mugdan, Walter
Subject: Passaic river

Did it get reviewed by cstag? If not, why not. Tx